

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/702,349	Applicant(s) BEDARD ET AL.	
	Examiner Theresa T. Snider	Art Unit 3723	

All Participants:
 (1) Theresa T. Snider
 (2) Richard S. Wesorick

Date of Interview: 25 October 2007

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: _____
 (3) _____
 (4) _____
Time: _____

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1-34

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed. Examiner informed Mr. Wesorick of allowability of claims 1-34. Mr. Wesorick agreed to change 'axle apparartus' to 'plurality of axle members', as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment, to properly correlate with the proposed amended claims. Mr. Wesorick agreed to change 'an elbow' to 'said elbow' in claim 20 because 'an elbow' was already provided in independent claim 19, the claim from which claim 20 depends.